

Course: Procurement for Subcontract Management

Name:

Training/Workshop Evaluation Form Instructor: Ravi Adhi

Date: February 28, 2012

Company: BE Aerospace

| Location of Class: Valleybrook Centre | | Job Title | ; ; | | |
|---|------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|
| What was your objective for taking this course? | I. F. ARN | Wa .55.70 | ep/use ne | £Ί | |
| Class met your objectives | Excellent 5 | Very Good | Adequate 3 | Poor 2 | Unsatisfactory 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | • | |
| Fraining materials supported and enhanced your understanding of the content | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| if less than adequate, why? | MOULD L | IKE BETTER | 5164 BT 570 | ip EXAN | ntes for REFEREN |
| Class had an effective mix of activities, lecture, exercises, and discussion. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| f less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Exercises were applicable and beneficial | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| f less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| opics were organized in a meaningful sequence | (S) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| f less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Was the material in this course directly applicable to | your job? | | Yes | No | |
| are you confident in your ability to use these materia | is and this sof | tware? | Yes | No | |
| Vould you recommend this course to others? | | | Yes | No | |
| f no, why? | | | | | |
| What did you find most valuable about this course? | FXPLANA | Thow of | VALUES O | n T | ORIX |
| | 1 | | | Next | Page |



| | Excellent | Very Good | Adequate | Poor | Unsatisfactory |
|--|------------------|-----------|----------|------|----------------|
| Instructor met the stated class objectives (see the posted objectives in your class) | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Instructor encouraged participation, questions, and discussion | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Instructor was helpful with exercises and general information | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 . |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Instructor delivery was effective (visuals, enthusiasm, voice projection, eye contact) | (Š) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Provided practical applications | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| What changes would you recommend to improve this course? | DIRITAL (| OPIES OF | MATERIAL | \$ | |

Additional Comments/Suggestions:

ONE OF THE BEST TRAINING YET!



| Name: | Date: February 28, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------|
| Course: Procurement for Subcontract Management | Company: BE Aerospace |
| Location of Class: Valleybrook Centre | Job Title: |

| #***** | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|----------|------------|----------------|
| What was your objective for taking this course? | To Und | ONSKONO | of Arocu | remo | ıt |
| | Dr Sur |)-Cortre | act Mo | nage | inent Mode |
| | Excellent | Very Good | Adequate | Poor | Unsatisfactory |
| Class met your objectives | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Training materials supported and enhanced your understanding of the content | <u>(5)</u> | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Class had an effective mix of activities, lecture, exercises, and discussion. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Exercises were applicable and beneficial | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Topics were organized in a meaningful sequence | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Was the material in this course directly applicable to | your job? | | Yes | No | |
| Are you confident in your ability to use these materia | als and this soft | ware? | Yes | No | |
| Would you recommend this course to others? | | | Yes | No | |
| If no, why? | | | | | |
| What did you find most valuable about this course? | Course | hstru | ch R | 1 1eser | ted |
| What did you find most valuable about this course? WELL & HOIK The Time | necev | very to | let (| Next | Page |
| he exercises | | | | | |



| | Excellent | Very Good | Adequate | Poor | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-------------|-------------------|----------|------|----------------|
| Instructor met the stated class objectives (see the posted objectives in your class) | 5 | (² 4) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Instructor encouraged participation, questions, and discussion | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Instructor was helpful with exercises and general information | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Instructor delivery was effective (visuals, enthusiasm, voice projection, eye contact) | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Provided practical applications | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| What changes would you recommend to improve this course? | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | | | | | |

| Additional | Comments/ | Suggestions: |
|------------|-----------|--------------|
|------------|-----------|--------------|



| Name: | Date: February 28, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------|
| Course: Procurement for Subcontract Management | Company: BE Aerospace |
| Location of Class: Valleybrook Centre | Job Title: |

| What was your objective for taking this course? | To have | a be | ctes (| unders | tandina |
|---|-------------------|-----------|----------|--------|----------------|
| of | Subco | Mact | Monog | gree | the |
| | Excellent | Very Good | Adequate | Poor | Unsatisfactory |
| Class met your objectives | 5 | (4) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Training materials supported and enhanced your understanding of the content | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Class had an effective mix of activities, lecture, exercises, and discussion. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Exercises were applicable and beneficial | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Topics were organized in a meaningful sequence | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Was the material in this course directly applicable to | your job? | | Yes | No | |
| Are you confident in your ability to use these materia | ls and this softw | vare? | Yes | No | |
| Would you recommend this course to others? | | | Yes | No | |
| If no, why? | 1 | | | | |
| What did you find most valuable about this course? | The h | ands e | on ex | erase | <u>S.</u> |



| Instructor met the stated class objectives (see the posted objectives in your class) If less than adequate, why? | Excellent 5 | Very Good | Adequate | Poor 2 | Unsatisfactory 1 |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------------------|
| Instructor encouraged participation, questions, and discussion If less than adequate, why? | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Instructor was helpful with exercises and general information If less than adequate, why? | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Instructor delivery was effective (visuals, enthusiasm, voice projection, eye contact) | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Provided practical applications | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| What changes would you recommend to improve this course? | | | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| Additional Comments/Suggestions: | | | | | |



| | Excellent | Very Good | Adequate | Poor | Unsatisfactory |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|------|----------------|
| Instructor met the stated class objectives (see the posted objectives in your class) | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Instructor encouraged participation, questions, and discussion | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Instructor was helpful with exercises and general information | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Instructor delivery was effective (visuals, enthusiasm, voice projection, eye contact) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Provided practical applications | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| What changes would you recommend to improve this course? | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Additional Comments/Suggestions: Ravi was an excellent instructor. He mixed real situations with hands on exercises to keep the cluss interesting and flowing



| Name: Rolph Right | | Date: Feb | ruary 28, 2 | 012 | |
|---|------------------|------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| Course: Procurement for Subcontract M | anagement | Company | : BE Aerosp | ace | |
| Location of Class: Valleybrook Centre | | Job Title: | P2P Core Te | an Me | mber |
| What was your objective for taking this course? | | | | | |
| | Excellent | Very Good | Adequate | Poor | Unsatisfactory |
| Class met your objectives | (<u>5</u>) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Training materials supported and enhanced your understanding of the content | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Class had an effective mix of activities, lecture, exercises, and discussion. | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Exercises were applicable and beneficial | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Topics were organized in a meaningful sequence | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Was the material in this course directly applicable to y | our job? | | (es) | No | |
| Are you confident in your ability to use these materials | s and this softw | are? | ves | No | |
| Would you recommend this course to others? | | | Yes | No | |
| If no, why? | | | | | |
| What did you find most valuable about this course? | Hands a | n ekerci. | ses | | |
| | 1 | | | Next : | Page |



| Name: | Date: February 28, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------|
| Course: Procurement for Subcontract Management | Company: BE Aerospace |
| Location of Class: Valleybrook Centre | Job Title: |

| What was your objective for taking this course? | LGA | MMNG | SUSCONTHA | CT MB | MAGEMENT |
|---|-------------|------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 1.0 | 61 | | | |
| | Excellent | Very Good | Adequate | Poor | Unsatisfactory |
| Class met your objectives | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Training materials supported and enhanced your understanding of the content | 5 | (4) | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Class had an effective mix of activities, lecture, exercises, and discussion. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Exercises were applicable and beneficial | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Topics were organized in a meaningful sequence | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | |
| Was the material in this course directly applicable to y | our job? | | Yes | No | |
| Are you confident in your ability to use these materials and this software? | | | Yes | No | |
| Would you recommend this course to others? | | | Yes | No | |
| If no, why? | | | | | |
| What did you find most valuable about this course? | HAMOS O | W TRAIN | 54NF2N06D 1NG » 6-00 | | ngy |
| | <i>A</i> ~0 | ENTHUSIA | try For | SUBJECT Next] | |



| | Excellent | Very Good | Adequate | Poor | Unsatisfactory | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|----------|------|----------------|--|--|
| Instructor met the stated class objectives (see the posted objectives in your class) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | | | |
| Instructor encouraged participation, questions, and discussion | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | | | |
| Instructor was helpful with exercises and general information | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | | | |
| Instructor delivery was effective (visuals, enthusiasm, voice projection, eye contact) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | | | |
| Provided practical applications | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| If less than adequate, why? | | | | | | | |
| What changes would you recommend to improve this course? | PROUDE MORE CASE STUDIES | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Additional Comments/Suggestions:

CCARS WAS VERY BENEFICIAZ. I COOK FORMAND TO APPLYING TOPICS TO OUR ENP PROJECT DEDIGN.